

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/03/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/286,794	04/06/1999	MARK E. BAER	28076/SV703A	5439
7	590 12/03/2002			
MARSHALL O'TOOLE GERSTEIN MURRAY & BORUN 6300 SEARS TOWER 233 SOUTH WACKER DR			EXAMINER	
			BLOUNT, STEVEN	
CHICAGO, IL	606066402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2661	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summans	69/286,794 1.	Saer et al
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit
	BloUNT	2661
—The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet beneath t	the correspondence address—
		•
eriod for Reply		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIREMON	TH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defa Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st 	reply within the statutory minimum of thin	ty (30) days will be considered timely. ing date of this communication .
tatus	. ·	
☑ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 9/10/	62	
☐ This action is FINAL.		
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1		as to the merits is closed in
isposition of Claims		
Of the above claim(s)	is	/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is	avare withdrawn from consideration.
© Claim(s) 49-56 and 62-	.7 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s/are allowed.
⊠ Claim(s) 57-61	ts	s/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)		-
□ Claim(s)		
pplication Papers		equirement.
	na Bardanii BTO 040	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw		arayad
The proposed drawing correction filed on	is l'approved disabi	orovea.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed onis/are_objection.	**	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj	**	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.	**	
 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 	**	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objute The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Industry under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	ected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Index of the control of the	ected to by the Examiner.	·
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objute The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Industry under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	ected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Indicate the control of the con	under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. rlority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of	under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). If the priority documents have been	 •
 □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. rlority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numbers) 	under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). If the priority documents have been oer) ternational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2	 2(a)).
☐ The drawing(s) filed on	under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). If the priority documents have been oer) ternational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2	 2(a)).
☐ The drawing(s) filed on	under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). If the priority documents have been Der) ternational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2	 2(a)).
☐ The drawing(s) filed on	under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). If the priority documents have been ber) ternational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2	(a)). Summary, PTO-413
☐ The drawing(s) filed on	under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). If the priority documents have been ber) ternational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2	2(a)).

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No. ______

Application/Control Number: 09286794 Page 2

Art Unit: 2661

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Prosecution Application

1. The request filed on 8/20/02 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/286,794 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 57 61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 first paragraph for failing to enable one skilled in the art to make and use the invention.

In claim 57, it is stated that the motor assembly has the fan impeller disposed on the motor shaft. See line 3. However, as shown in figure 1, the fan impeller 45 is not disposed *on* the motor shaft 15. Further, on pages 5+ of the written portion of the specification, it is stated, starting on line 27, that:

"The impeller 45 having bores to accommodate the lugs on the drive washer 40 and keyways to accommodate the second upset portion 35 is placed on the shaft 15."

This statement gives proper support for the claims for the method of assembly, where a transitory touching between the shaft and the impeller is sufficient. However, with regard to claims 57 - 61, directed to the motor assembly itself, this is insufficient, in view of the fact that in

Application/Control Number: 09286794

Art Unit: 2661

the finished product, the fan impeller is not disposed on the motor shaft, for it is stated on page 6,

Page 3

lines 2+, that:

"The second washer 50 also keyed to accommodate the second upset portion 35 is

installed onto the shaft 15. After the drive washer 40, the impeller 45, and the second

washer 50 have been installed, the motor shaft extension 55 is installed into abutment

with the second washer 50."

When read in conjunction with figure 1, it is clear that in the final, apparatus product, the

fan impeller is not disposed "on" (a reasonable interpretation of "on" being "in contact with") the

motor shaft, especially since having the second washer 50 in abutment with the shaft extension

would geometrically preclude such contact.

4. Claims 49 - 56 and 62 - 67 are allowed.

Contact Information

5. Examiner Blount may be contacted at the Patent Office between the hours of

9:00 am to 5:30 P.M. Monday through Friday. His phone number is (703) 305-0319.

DOUGLAS OLMS

Dougles W. Clins

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600